Dynamic Analysis of a High-Speed Railway Train With the Defective Axle Bearing

Jing Liu

School of Marine Science and Technology, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, 710072, People's Republic of China. Key Laboratory for Unmanned Underwater Vehicle, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, 710072, People's Republic of China.

Shangkun Du

College of Mechanical Engineering, Chongqing University, Chongqing, 400030, P.R. China.

(Received 4 April 2020; accepted 13 July 2020)

Axle bearings (AXBs) are critical parts for high-speed railway trains (HSTs). Local faults in the AXBs have great influences on the operational dynamics of HSTs. Although some previous works formulated the local faults in single AXB, the vibrations of the whole train system with the defective AXB cannot be described. To overcome this problem, this study conducts a dynamic model for a HST considering a local fault in one AXB. The previous single AXB model cannot formulate the studied case. The impacts caused by the fault in the AXB is defined as a time-dependent force model considering a half-sine type. The road spectrum excitations from the roadbed and rail are formulated by a track irregularities model. The effects of the train speeds and fault sizes on the HST dynamics are introduced. The simulation results from the proposed and previous works are contrasted to show the model validation. The results show that the faults in the AXB will greatly affect the HST dynamics. It depicts that this study can afford a more reasonable approach for understanding the dynamics of HSTs considering the defective AXBs compared to the reported single AXB model.

NOMENCLATURE

B_d	roller diameter, mm		
C_r	damping of the rail and roadbed, N/m		
$\dot{C_1}$	equivalent damping of the first suspension, KN s/m		
$\dot{C_2}$	equivalent damping of the second suspension, KN·s/m		
$\tilde{C_{h}}$	damping of the axle bearing, KN·s/m		
c_i	contact angle parameter		
d_{def}	fault width, mm		
D_{nm}	bearing pitch diameter, mm		
f	time frequency, Hz		
f_{uv}	rotational frequency of inner ring, Hz		
f_c	rotational frequency of cage, Hz		
f_i	roller passing frequency for inner ring, Hz		
f_o	roller passing frequency for outer ring, Hz		
f_b	roller spinning frequency, Hz		
F_D	dynamic force, N		
F_s	static force, N		
F_T	total impact force, N		
F_r	radial load, N		
g	gravitational acceleration, m/s ²		
l	roller length, mm		
K_{cs}	contact stiffness between the ring and roller, N/m		
K_r	equivalent stiffness of the rail and roadbed, N/m		
K_1	equivalent stiffness of the first suspension, MN/m		
K_2	equivalent stiffness of the second suspension, MN/m		
K_b	equivalent contact stiffness of the axle bearing, MN/m		
M_c	train body mass, ton		
M_t	bogie frame mass, ton		
M_w	wheelset mass, ton		
N_s	rotational speed of inner ring, r/min		
S	power spectral density (PSD) value, mm ² ·Hz		
V	train speed, km/h		

Z	number of rollers
α_o	contact angles for outer ring, degree
α_i	contact angles for inner ring, degree
α_f	contact angles for rib, degree
$\hat{\Omega}$	spatial frequency, Hz
ψ_i	ith roller angular position, rad
ε	load distribution parameter
λ_{imp}	impact factor

1. INTRODUCTION

Axle bearings (AXBs) are a critical part for high-speed railway trains (HSTs). Local faults in the AXBs have great influences on the operational dynamics of HSTs, as well as the manufacturing errors.^{1,2} As the most ones, wear between the roller and rings is caused by the lubricating film2. Another one is the spalls due to the sub-surface stresses causing the surface inelastic deformations.³⁻⁶ The fault may be a surface wear caused by the fatigue, which can grow to the fracture and cracking faults.^{7,9} Numerous derailments in the railroad industry are caused by catastrophic axle bearings.^{8,9} When the faults occur in the axle bearings, the impact forces in the bearing should be produced. This phenomenon can greatly affect the vibrations and noises of the axle bearings, as well as the reliability and safety of the HST system. Therefore, the dynamic analysis of the axle bearings with the local faults is very useful for vibration control methods and condition monitoring of the HSTs.

A lot of experimental and numerical approaches were provided to study the vibrations of AXBs. The simulation method can provide a clear and in-depth understanding of the vibrations of axle bearings with and without the faults. Zhai et al.^{10–12} studied the lateral and vertical vibrations from a traintrack-bridge system. Zhu et al.¹³ studied the low-frequency

vibrations from a metro train. Cantero and Karoumi¹⁴ analyzed the effects of the train speed, track irregularity cases, and bridge characteristics on the vibrations from a train-trackbridge system. Bokaeian et al.¹⁵ used a Euler-Bernoulli beam model to study the torsional and bending vibrations of the HST body. Although some works considered the train vibrations including the axle bearings, they did not consider the local faults in the AXBs. This paper will try to overcome this issue.

Many vibration sources can be from the bearings, which include surface waviness,^{1,16} off-sized rollers,¹⁷ and local faults.¹⁸ Numerous studies have also been reported to formulate the local faults in different bearings.¹⁹ For example, Sassi et al.,²⁰ Khanam et al.,²¹ Liu et al.,^{22,23} Li et al.,²⁴ Niu et al.,²⁵ Hou et al.,^{26,27} Han et al.,²⁸ and Kogan et al.²⁹ used different analytical methods to study the vibrations of defective bearings. Some works^{30–32} used the finite element methods to study the vibrations of defective bearing systems. However, the AXB system in the HST is a more complex system than the single or double bearing system. Although Liu et al.³³ studied the vibrations of AXB considering a local fault, they only considered a simplified single AXB system. Their model cannot be an accurate model to study the vibrations of the complete HST system with the defective AXBs. This issue would be overcome in this work.

This work presented a multi-body dynamic model for a HST system including the AXBs with a local fault. The previous single AXB model cannot formulate the studied case. The train model contains a train body, four wheelsets, two bogie frames, and eight axle bearings. Each wheelset includes two axle bearings. The impacts caused by the fault in the AXB is defined as a time-dependent force model considering a halfsine type. The road spectrum excitations from the roadbed and rail are formulated by a track irregularities model based on the Chinese high-speed low disturbance spectrum. The road spectrum displacement excitations are applied to formulate the excitations produced by the rail and roadbed. The effects of the train speeds and fault sizes on the HST dynamics are introduced. The simulation results from the proposed and previous works are contrasted to show the model validation. The results show that the faults in the AXB will greatly affect the HST dynamics. It depicts that this study can afford a more reasonable method for understanding the dynamics of HSTs considering the defective AXBs compared to the reported single AXB model.

2. A TRAIN DYNAMIC MODEL FORMULATION

A train dynamic model considering the axle bearings is given in Fig. 1. The train model included a train body, four wheelsets, two bogie frames, and eight axle bearings. Each wheelset included two axle bearings. The above bodies were considered as rigid components in the model. The bogie frames and the wheelset were connected by the first suspensions and axle boxes. The train body was carried by tow bogie frames with the second suspensions at each end. The linear springs with the relative equivalent stiffness and damping are used to formulate the first and second suspensions, as well as the axle bearings. Figure 1(b) shows the whole train dynamic model simulated in the SIMPACK commercial software. Figure 1(c) gives the dynamic model of AXB system. Here, the inner ring and outer ring are connected by the equivalent damping and stiffness elements.



Figure 1. A train dynamic model with the axle bearings. (a) Topological profile of the train, (b) train dynamic model, and (c) dynamic model of the axle bearing.

In Fig. 1, $M_c = 33.766$ ton, $M_t = 2.4$ ton, and $M_w =$ 1.85 ton were the mass of the body, bogie frame, and wheelset, respectively. K_r and C_r were the equivalent stiffness and damping of the rail and roadbed, whose values were zero here. K_1 was the equivalent stiffness of the first suspension, whose values were 0.980 MN/m, 0.980 MN/m, and 1.176 MN/m along x, y, and z directions, respectively. C_1 was the equivalent damping of the first suspension, whose values were 9.800 KN·s/m along x, y, and z directions. K_2 was the equivalent stiffness of the second suspension, whose values were 0.167 MN/m, 0.167 MN/m, and 0.167 MN/m along x, y, and z directions, respectively. C_2 was the equivalent damping of the second suspension, whose values were 39.2 KN·s/m along x, y, and z directions. K_b and C_b were the equivalent contact stiffness and damping of the axle bearing, respectively. The damping of the axle bearing was 2000 N/m. The used train parameters in the model is given in Table 1.

2.1. Track Irregularities Model

A typical Chinese high-speed low disturbance spectrum model was used to formulate the track excitations according to the analysis in Ref.,¹² as shown in Fig. 2, whose expression is:

$$S(\Omega) = \frac{A(\Omega^2 + B\Omega + C)}{(\Omega^4 + D\Omega^3 + F\Omega + G)};$$
(1)

Table 1. Parameters of the studied AXB.

Parameters	Value
bearing pitch diameter D_{pm} , mm	180.500
roller diameter B_d , mm	23.00
number of rollers Z	21
contact angles for the inner race α_i , degree	7.750
contact angles for the outer race α_o , degree	10.000
contact angles for the rib α_f , degree	10.000
radial cleaance, mm	0.065
roller length <i>l</i> , mm	45.000



Figure 2. (a) Random displacement excitation and (b) its PSD of the track longitudinal irregularity.

where S was the power spectral density (PSD) value (mm²·Hz); Ω was the spatial frequency, in which $\Omega = 2\pi f/V$, where f and V were the time frequency and train speed; A, B, C, D, E, F, and G denoted the characteristic parameters for PSD, whose values were 0.6650, -1.4357, 0.537, 0.8138, 1.9123, -0.1234, and 0.0063, respectively.

2.2. Impact Force Model

According to the results in Ref.,²² when the fault occurs in the bearing components, the time-varying impact force between the mating components should be produced as shown in Fig. 3. The total impact force can be written as22:

$$F_T = F_S + F_D \tag{2}$$

where F_s was the static force, which was given as:

$$F_S = \frac{5F_r}{Z\cos\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{1 - \cos\psi_i}{2\varepsilon}\right)^{10/9}; \qquad (3)$$

where F_r , Z, $\varepsilon \psi_i$, were α the radial load, number of the roller, and load distribution parameter, *i*th roller angular position, and contact angle of AXB. Moreover, F_D was the dynamic force, which was written as:

$$F_D = F_S \left[1 + \lambda_{imp} \frac{10}{28} g B_d \left(\frac{d_{def}}{B_d} \right)^2 \right]; \tag{4}$$

where λ_{imp} was the impact factor, whose value is 0.96;²² d_{def} was the fault width; B_d was the roller diameter; and g was the



Figure 3. Contact relation between the fault and roller.

gravitational acceleration. The static force was produced by the applied radial load on the bearing. The dynamic force was produced by the impacts between the roller and fault area.

2.3. Contact Stiffnes Formulation

According to Palmgren's method, the contact stiffness between one roller and rings was³³:

$$K_{cs} = 8.06 \times 10^4 l^{0.89} [1 + c_i^{0.9} \cos(\alpha_o - \alpha_i)]^{-10/9}; \quad (5)$$

where c_i was the given as:

$$c_i = \frac{\sin(\alpha_o + \alpha_f)}{\sin(\alpha_i + \alpha_f)}.$$
(6)

According to the parameters in Table 1, the contact stiffness is 1.043×109 N/m.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section will discuss the effects of the fault location and sizes on the vibrations of HST.

3.1. Model Validation

Figure 4 introduces the acceleration spectra of defective AXB considering the outer ring, inner ring, and roller fault cases, respectively. Here, the HST speed is 350 km/h; and the fault width is 5 mm. In Fig. 4(a), the peak frequencies located at the nf_o ($f_o = 307.60 \text{ Hz} \approx 308.18 \text{ Hz}$, and n = 1, 2, and $3,\ldots$). In Fig. 4(b), the peak frequencies located at the nf_i $(f_i = 398.10 \text{ Hz} \approx 398.16 \text{ Hz})$; moreover, the modulation frequency is $33.7 \text{ Hz} = f_s = 33.64 \text{ Hz}$, where f_s is the shaft frequency. In Fig. 4(c), the peak frequencies located at the $2nf_b$ $(2f_b = 259.00 \text{ Hz}, \text{ and } f_b = 129.55 \text{ Hz} \approx 129.50 \text{ Hz});$ moreover, the modulation frequency is $14.00 \text{ Hz} \approx f_c = 14.68 \text{ Hz}$, where fc is the cage frequency. The calculation methods of the above frequencies have been listed in Appendix A. Comparisons of the characteristic frequencies between the theoretical method in Ref.¹² and proposed model are listed in Table 3. The results depict that their differences are 0.20%, 0.02%, and 0.04% for the three fault cases, respectively, which can afford some model validation.

3.2. Effects Of Outer Ring Fault Width and HST Speed on Dynamics

The effect of the outer ring fault (W = 5 mm) on the bogie frame acceleration and contact force in the AXB of the healthy

J. Liu, et al.: DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF A HIGH-SPEED RAILWAY TRAIN WITH THE DEFECTIVE AXLE BEARING

Table 2. Comparisons between the theoretical and simulation results.										
	Case	Parameter	Theoretical result (Hz)	Simulation result (Hz)	Difference (%)					
	1	roller passing frequency for outer ring, f_o	308.18	307.60	0.20					
	2	roller passing frequency for inner ring, f_i	398.16	398.10	0.02					
	3	roller spinning frequency, f_b	129.50	129.55	0.04					



Figure 4. Acceleration spectra of AXB with (a) the outer ring, (b) inner ring, and (c) roller fault cases.



Figure 5. Effect of the outer ring fault on the bogie frame acceleration when the HST speed is (a) 100 km/h, (b) 200 km/h, and (c) 300 km/h, respectively.

and unhealthy HST systems is shown in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively. It seems that the outer ring fault has small effect on the waveform and amplitude of the bogie frame acceleration and contact force in the AXB. Some additional impulse produced by the outer ring fault can be observed in the bogie frame acceleration and contact force in the AXB. The amplitudes of the bogie frame acceleration and contact force in the AXB of the unhealthy HST system are larger than those of the healthy HST system.

The effect of the outer ring fault on the maximum and RMS values of the wheelset acceleration is shown in Fig. 7. The



Figure 6. Effect of the outer ring fault on the contact force in the defective AXB when its width is (a) 1 mm, (b) 2 mm, and (c) 3 mm, respectively.

vibration of the wheelset increases with the increment of the outer ring fault for different HST systems. The wheelset vibration increases with the increment of HST speed too. As given in Fig. 7, when the HST speed is less than or equal to 200 km/h, the effect of the outer ring fault on the wheelset vibration is great for different train speed cases; however, when the HST speed is small for different train speed cases. It seems that the effect of the outer ring fault on the wheelset vibration is larger than the vibration caused by the track excitations for when the train speed is less than or equal to 200 km/h. However, the effect of the outer ring fault on the wheelset vibration is less than the vibration caused by the track excitations for when the train speed is less than or equal to 200 km/h. However, the effect of the outer ring fault on the wheelset vibration is less than the vibration caused by the track excitations for when the train speed is less than or equal to 200 km/h. However, the effect of the outer ring fault on the wheelset vibration is less than the vibration caused by the track excitations for when the train speed is less than or equal to 200 km/h. However, the effect of the outer ring fault on the wheelset vibration is less than the vibration is less than the vibration caused by the track excitations for when the train speed is less than the vibration is less than the vibratin the v



Figure 7. Effect of the outer ring fault on the (a) maximum and (b) RMS values of the wheelset acceleration.



Figure 8. Effect of the inner ring fault on the (a) maximum and (b) RMS values of the wheelset acceleration.

bration caused by the track excitations for when the HST speed is larger 200 km/h.

3.3. Effects of Inner Ring Fault Width and HST Speed on The Dynamics

The effect of the inner ring fault on the maximum and RMS values of the wheelset acceleration is shown in Fig. 8. The wheelset vibration increases with the increment of the inner ring fault for different HST systems. The wheelset vibration increases with the increment of the HST speed too. As given in Fig. 8, when the train speed is less than or equal to 150 km/h, the effect of the inner ring fault on the wheelset vibration is great for different train speed cases; however, when the train speed is more than 150 km/h, the effect of the inner ring fault is small for different HST speed cases. It seems that the effect of the inner ring fault on the wheelset vibration is larger than the vibration caused by the track excitations when the HST speed is less than or equal to 150 km/h. However, the effect of the inner ring fault on the wheelset vibration is less than the vibration caused by the track excitations for when the HST speed is larger 150 km/h.



Figure 9. Effect of the roller fault on the (a) maximum and (b) RMS values of the wheelset acceleration.



Figure 10. Effect of the fault location on the maximum value of the wheelset acceleration. (OD, ID, and RD denote the outer ring, inner ring, and roller fault cases, respectively.)

3.4. Effects of Roller Fault Width and HST Speed on The Dynamics

The effect of the roller fault on the maximum and RMS values of the wheelset acceleration is shown in Fig. 9. The wheelset vibration increases with the increment of the roller fault for different HST systems. The wheelset vibration also increases with the increment of the HST speed. As given in Fig. 9, when the HST speed is less than or equal to150 km/h, the effect of the roller fault on the wheelset vibration is greater for different HST speed cases; however, when the HST speed is more than 150 km/h, the effect of the roller fault is smaller for different HST speed cases. It seems that the effect of the roller fault on the wheelset vibration caused by the track excitations when the HST speed is less than or equal to 150 km/h. However, the effect of the roller fault on the wheelset vibration caused by the track excitations when the HST speed is less than or equal to 150 km/h. However, the effect of the roller fault on the wheelset vibration caused by the track excitations for when the HST speed is larger 150 km/h.

3.5. Effect of The Fault Location on The Accelerations

Figure 10 plots the effect of the fault location on the maximum value of wheelset acceleration. The HST speed is 100 km/h. The fault widths are 1 mm to 5 mm, respectively. In Fig. 10, the effect of the outer ring fault on the wheelset vibration is larger than those of the inner ring and roller fault cases; the effect of the inner ring fault on the wheelset vibration is larger than that of the roller fault. Moreover, it seems that the wheelset vibration increases with the increment of the outer ring, inner ring, and roller ring fault cases.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This study presents a dynamic model of a HST considering a local fault in one AXB. The previous single AXB model cannot formulate the studied case. The impacts caused by the fault in the AXB is defined as a time-dependent force model considering a half-sine type. The road spectrum excitations from the roadbed and rail are formulated by a track irregularities model. The effects of the train speeds and fault sizes on the HST dynamics are introduced. The simulation results from the proposed and previous works are contrasted to show the model validation. The results show that the faults in the AXB will greatly affect the HST dynamics. The bearing fault has a small effect on the waveform and amplitude of the bogie frame acceleration and contact force in the AXB. Some additional impulses produced by the outer ring fault can be observed in the bogie frame acceleration and contact force in the AXB. The amplitudes of the bogie frame acceleration and contact force in the AXB of the unhealthy HST system are larger than those of the healthy HST system. The wheelset vibration increases with the increment of the roller fault for different HST systems. The wheelset vibration increases with the increment of the HST speed too. When the HST speed is a smaller one, the effect of the bearing fault on the wheelset vibration is greater than that caused by the track excitations. When the HST speed is a larger one, the effect of the bearing fault is smaller than that caused by the track excitations. The effect of the outer ring fault on the wheelset vibration is larger than those of the inner ring and roller fault cases; the effect of the inner ring fault on the wheelset vibration is larger than that of the roller fault. It depicts that this study can provide a more reasonable method for understanding the dynamics of HST considering the local faults in the AXBs compared to the reported single AXB model.

According the current analysis, a reasonable equivalent stiffness and damping of the first and second suspensions can reduce the influence of impact caused by the faults in the bearings on the HST system. Since the authors' test conditions cannot support the relative experiment. Thus, the simulation results from the proposed method are compared with those from the method in Ref.³⁴ The authors will do their best to obtain some experimental data in their future works.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful for National Natural Science Foundation of China under Contract No. 51975068; and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under contract No. 3102020HHZY030001.

REFERENCES

- ¹ Lynagh N, Rahnejat H, Ebrahimi M, et al. Bearing induced vibration in precision high speed routing spindles. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, **40**(4), 561-577, (2000). https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0890-6955(99)00076-0
- ² Gohar R. Elastohydrodynamics. 2nd ed. London: World Scientific, 404–406, (2001).
- ³ Gohar R and Rahnejat H. Fundamentals of tribology. 2nd ed. London: Imperial College Press, 147, (2012).
- ⁴ Liu J, Shi Z, Shao Y. Vibration characteristics of a ball bearing considering point lubrication and

nonuniform surface waviness. *International Journal* of Acoustics and Vibration, **23**(3), 355-361 (2018). https://dx.doi.org/10.20855/ijav.2018.23.31249

- ⁵ Johns-Rahnejat PM and Gohar R. Point contact elastohydrodynamic pressure distribution and sub-surface stress field, Tri-annual conference on multi-body dynamics: monitoring and simulation techniques, Bradford, UK, (1997).
- ⁶ Liu J, Shao Y. Dynamic modeling for rigid rotor bearing systems with a localized defect considering additional deformations at the sharp edges. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, **398**(23), 84-102 (2017). https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2017.03.007
- ⁷ Amini A, Entezami M and Papaelias M. Onboard detection of railway axle bearing defects using envelope analysis of high frequency acoustic emission signals. *Nondestruct Test Eval*, **6**, 8–16, (2016). https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.csndt.2016.06.002
- ⁸ Choe H C, Wan Y, Chan A K. Neural pattern identification of railroad wheel-bearing faults from audible acoustic signals: comparison of FFT, CWT, and DWT features. SPIE Proceedings on Wavelet Applications IV, International Society for Optics and Photonics, **3078**, 480-497 (1997). https://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.271772
- ⁹ Papaelias M, Amini A, Huang Z, et al. Online condition monitoring of rolling stock wheels and axle bearings. *Proc IMechE, Part F: J Rail Rapid Transit*, **230**, 709–723 (2016). https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0954409714559758
- ¹⁰ Zhai W M, Wang K Y, Cai C. Fundamentals of vehicle-track coupled dynamics. *Vehicle System Dynamics*, **47**(11), 1349-1376 (2009). https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00423110802621561
- ¹¹ Zhai W M, Xia H, Cai C, Gao M, Li X, Guo X, Zhang N, Wang K Y. High-speed train-track-bridge dynamic interactions – Part I: theoretical model and numerical simulation. *International Journal of Rail Transportation*, 1(1-2), 3–24 (2013). https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23248378.2013.791498
- ¹² Z. Chen, Z. Zhou, W. Zhai, K. Wang. Improved analytical calculation model of spur gear mesh excitations with tooth profile deviations. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, **149**, 103838 (2020). https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2020.103838
- 13 Zhu S. Yan H, et al. Low-frequency Yang J. vibration control floating slab tracks of using dvnamic vibration absorbers. Vehicle Svs-53(9). Dynamics. 1296-1314 (2015). tem https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00423114.2015.1046460
- ¹⁴ Cantero D, Karoumi R. Numerical evaluation of the midspan assumption in the calculation of total load effects in railway bridges. *Engineering Structures*, **107**, 1-8, (2016). https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2015.11.005
- ¹⁵ Bokaeian V, Rezvani M A, Arcos R. The coupled effects of bending and torsional flexural modes of a high-speed train car body on its vertical ride quality. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part K: Journal of Multi-body Dynamics*, 1464419319856191, (2019). https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1464419319856191

- ¹⁶ Liu J, Shao Y M. Vibration modelling of nonuniform surface waviness in a lubricated roller bearing. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, **23**(7), 1115-1132 (2017).
- ¹⁷ Vafaei S, Rahnejat H and Aini R. Vibration monitoring of high speed spindles using spectral analysis techniques. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 42, 1223–1234 (2002). https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0890-6955(02)00049-4
- ¹⁸ Liu J, Shao Y, Lim T C. Vibration analysis of ball bearings with a localized defect applying piecewise response function. *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, **56**, 156-169 (2012). https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2012.05.008
- ¹⁹ Liu J, Shao Y. Overview of dynamic modelling and analysis of rolling element bearings with localized and distributed faults. Nonlinear Dynamics, **93**(4), 1765–1798 (2018). https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11071-018-4314-y
- ²⁰ Sassi S, Badri B, Thomas M. A numerical model to predict damaged bearing vibrations. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, **13**(11), 1603-1628 (2007). https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1077546307080040
- ²¹ Khanam S, Tandon N, Dutt J K. Multi-event excitation force model for inner race defect in a rolling element bearing. *Journal of Tribology*, **138**(1), 011106 (2016). https://dx.doi.org/10.1115/1.4031394
- ²² Liu J, Shao Y. An improved analytical model for a lubricated roller bearing including a localized defect with different edge shapes. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, **24**(17), 3894-3907 (2018). https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1077546317716315
- ²³ Liu J. A dynamic modelling method of a rotorroller bearing-housing system with a localized fault including the additional excitation zone. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, **469**, 115144, (2020). https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2019.115144
- ²⁴ Li X, Yu K, Ma H, Cao L, Luo Z, Li H, & Che L. Analysis of varying contact angles and load distributions in defective angular contact ball bearing. *Engineering Failure Analysis*, **91**, 449-464 (2018). https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2018.04.050
- ²⁵ Niu L, Cao H, He Z, et al. A systematic study of ball passing frequencies based on dynamic modeling of rolling ball bearings with localized surface defects. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, **357**, 207-232 (2015). https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2015.08.002
- ²⁶ Hou L, Chen H, Chen Y, Lu K, Liu Z. Bifurcation and stability analysis of a nonlinear rotor system subjected to constant excitation and rub-impact. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, **125**, 65-78 (2019). https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2018.07.019
- ²⁷ Yang R, Jin Y, Hou L, et al. Advantages of pulse force model over geometrical boundary model in a rigid rotor-ball bearing system. *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics*, **102**, 159-169 (2018). https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnonlinmec.2018.03.011
- ²⁸ Han Q, Ding Z, Xu X, et al. Stator current model for detecting rolling bearing faults in induction motors using magnetic equivalent circuits. *Mechanical Sys-*

tems and Signal Processing, **131**, 554-575 (2019). https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2019.06.010

- ²⁹ Kogan G, Klein R, Bortman J. A physics-based algorithm for the estimation of bearing spall width using vibrations. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, **104**, 398-414 (2018). https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2017.11.011
- ³⁰ Liu J, Shao Y, Zuo M J. The effects of the shape of localized defect in ball bearings on the vibration waveform. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part K: Journal of Multi-body Dynamics*, **227**(3), 261-274 (2013). https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1464419313486102
- ³¹ Liu J, Shao Y. A numerical investigation of effects of defect edge discontinuities on contact forces and vibrations for a defective roller bearing. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part K: Journal of Multi-body Dynamics*, **230**(4), 387-400 (2016). https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1464419315615451
- ³² Liu Y, Liu H, Fan B. Numerical analysis on the static-dynamic coupling influences of parallelism flaw in disc-rod rotor ball bearing system. Proceedings of the *Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part K: Journal of Multi-body Dynamics*, **232**(1), 103-112 (2018). https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1464419317710886
- ³³ Liu H, Wang L, Li Y. Simulation of rail wheel axle bearing vibration due to local damages on outer races. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, *Part K: Journal of Multi-body Dynamics*, 233(2), 429-440, (2019). https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1464419318778253
- ³⁴ Palmgren A. Ball and roller bearing engineering, 3rd ed., Burbank, Philadelphia, (1959).
- ³⁵ Harris T A, Kotzalas M N. Rolling bearing analysisessential concepts of bearing technology, 5th ed. Taylor and Francis, (2007).

APPENDIX A

According to the method in Ref.,¹ the rotational frequency of inner ring is:

$$f_w = \frac{N_s}{60};\tag{A.1}$$

where N_s is the rotational speed of inner ring. The rotational frequency of cage is:

$$f_c = \frac{f_i}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_d}{D_{pm}} \cos \alpha \right] + \frac{f_o}{2} \left[1 + \frac{B_d}{D_{pm}} \cos \alpha \right]; \quad (A.2)$$

where α is the equivalent contact angel, which is equal to α_o .³⁵ The roller passing frequency for inner ring is:

$$f_i = \frac{f_w}{2} \left[1 + \frac{B_d}{D_{pm}} \cos \alpha \right].$$
(A.3)

The roller passing frequency for outer ring is:

$$f_o = \frac{f_w}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_d}{D_{pm}} \cos \alpha \right]. \tag{A.4}$$

The roller spinning frequency is:

$$f_b = \frac{f_w D_{pm}}{2B_d} \left[1 - \left(\frac{B_d}{D_{pm}} \cos \alpha \right)^2 \right].$$
(A.5)

International Journal of Acoustics and Vibration, Vol. 25, No. 4, 2020